

Multiple Kernel Learning for Heterogeneous Anomaly Detection: Algorithm and Aviation Safety Case Study

Santanu Das, Bryan Matthews, Ashok Srivastava and Nikunj Oza NASA Ames Research Center

16th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Washington DC, July 25-28, 2010

Narsa

Motivation

Flight Data Monitoring

Automatic identification and causal analysis of hazards from data streams with mixed attributes





Fleet wide analysis

Flight Data Monitoring

Sequences D and continuous data streams C interactions

How to integrate all information in a <u>concise</u> and <u>intuitive</u> manner?

Compression, Feature extraction, Fusion, Anomaly detection



Mining Framework



na a prima di secondo di secondo de de la secondo de la

Multivariate continuous sequences.



Pair wise Similarity Measure



- Solves a convex and quadratic optimization problem.
- Can appropriately introduce a mixture of kernels in the convex cost function.
- Enables using non-linear kernel functions to learn complex separating planes.
- Results a model that can be used to classify new examples.



Optimization problem

One class SVMs training algorithms require solving the quadratic problem

Dual form

$$Q_{\min} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \left(\sum_{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda} K_{i,j}^{\lambda} \right)$$

Subject to:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} = 1 & \text{Linear equality} \\ & \nu \in [0,1], & \text{Control parameter} \\ & 0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq \frac{1}{l\nu}, \forall i & \text{Bounds on design} \\ & \text{variables} \end{split}$$

lpha : Lagrange multipliers of the primal QP problem



Anomaly scores

Decision boundary is determined only by margin and non-margin support vectors obtained by solving the QP problem

$$h(\alpha, \beta, f_z, \rho) = \sum_{i} \alpha_i \left(\sum_{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda} K_{i,z}^{\lambda} \right) - \rho$$

Datapoints with $\alpha_k > 0$ will
be the support vectors

Sign of *h: if negative - outlier if positive - normal*

Value of h: degree of anomalousness



Experiment

Simulation data

- Type 1 (Missing event) Flaps were not extended to normal full deployment at landing.
- Type 2 (Extra event) Landing gear was retracted after being deployed on final approach.
- Type 3 (Out of order event) Gear deployed before initial flaps below flaps limit.

Type 4 - (Continuous anomaly) High bank angles or rate of descent below 1,000 ft.









Case study: FOQA anomaly detection



 The traditional methods cannot detect and monitor these anomalous activities that may have occurred simultaneously and are heterogeneous in nature.

Normal Extra Missing

Flaps1 On
Landing_Gear_Sel_Dwn On
Flaps2 On
FlapsFull On
Ground_Spoilers_Deployed On
Landing_Gear_Sel_Dwn Off
FlapsFull Off
Flaps2 Off
Flaps1 Off
Flaps1 On
Landing_Gear_Sel_Dwn On
Flaps2 On
FlapsFull On

Conclusion



What can we summarize?

Performs

.... anomaly detection on multivariate mixed attributes where sequences may influence the system dynamics which is reflected on the continuous data streams.

Application

- 1. Support flights safety experts
- 2. Schedule maintenance

<u>Highlights</u>

High detection rate on most operationally significant anomalies in fleet wide analysis on large datasets
Discover some "unknown unknowns"



Thank you

- Contact and feedback:
 - Santanu Das

Santanu.Das-1@nasa.gov

• More resources on Dashlink website:

https://c3.ndc.nasa.gov/dl/topic/multiple-kernel-learning-basedheterogeneous-algorithm-2/

 Acknowledgement to Robert Lawrence, Dr. Irv Statler and Dr. Kanishka Bhaduri for insightful discussions and suggestions during this research.